Dear Madam and Sir, I endorse the following comments made by Ms. ..... and herebye agree with them and sent to you as my Submission:

To the Ministerstvo životního prostředí (Umweltministerium) Vršovická 1442/65 100 10 Praha 10 Czech Republic Only by E-Mail: <u>SMR\_ETE@mzp.gov.cz</u>

## Subject: Submission Scoping Procedure EIA SMR Temelin

Dear Madam and Sir,

"based on the documents on the website of the Bavarian Environmental Ministry (https://www.stmuv.bayern.de/themen/reaktorsicherheit/temelin\_smr/index.htm) I send the following submission to you:

1. No type of any SMR reactor is yet existing.

2. It isn't clear yet whether it finally will be a boiling water reactor or a pressurised water reactor.

3. It isn't yet clear what security and safety systems the future reactor type will finally have.

4. It isn't clear yet whether one or two reactors will be built.

5. A total capacity of up to 500 MWe isn't small. A Small Modular Reactor is told to have up to 300 MWe.

6. It is told that dirty water, process wastewater and rainwater is transported through connections of the existing infrastructure of the NPP Temelín 1 and 2 (including the final discharge of wastewater into the waterworks Kořensko) into the River Vltava. The rainwater is drained away through the connection to the existing rainwater sewer network, which carries rainwater from the site of the existing Temelín NPP to the Strouha receiver and further to the River Vltava .

This means, in the possible case of a Beyond Design Accident of the yet unknown reactor the contaminated water will find its way to the German part of the River Elbe. And this possible case of a Beyond Design Accident may also affect the existing units of Temelín 1 and 2.

7. Because of this it isn't yet clear how my properties or the health of me and my family will be affected.

Conclusion: This scoping procedure is no "Scoping Procedure for a Small Modular Reactor". The EIA procedure was started again as a black-box procedure. Relevant information in the context of the Aarhus Convention is missing. "Within the scope of the relevant provisions of this Convention, the public shall have access to information, have the possibility to participate in decision-making ..."

(Aarhus Convention Art. 3.9) isn't fullfilled. This procedure should be stopped and 1 von 2

30.05.25, 13:12Submission "Scoping Procedure EIA SMR Temelin started again correctly."

Last but not least!

I can see no Advandtage of Nuclear Power in relation to renewable Energy from solar wind water... helping solve urgent climate Problems.